



Judge name:

Poster title:

Presenter:

**Instructions to reviewer:** Use these criteria to rate the poster presentation on a scale of 1-5 (1=strongly disagree; 3=neutral; 5=strongly agree).

<b>Digital Poster Appearance (15 points)</b>	<b>5 is strong agreement</b>
1. Poster is readable, well organized and easy to follow.	1 2 3 4 5
2. Graphics and other visuals enhance the poster.	1 2 3 4 5
3. The poster is neat and appealing to look at.	1 2 3 4 5
<b>Digital Poster Content (45 points)</b>	
1. Content is clear and easy to understand.	1 2 3 4 5
2. Purpose of the research project (question being addressed) is stated clearly.	1 2 3 4 5
3. I understand why someone might be interested in the project results.	1 2 3 4 5
4. Key simplifying assumptions are identified.	1 2 3 4 5
5. There is enough detail about methods for me to understand how the research was carried out.	1 2 3 4 5
6. The approach taken is appropriate for the problem and technically sound.	1 2 3 4 5
7. Poster is free of unnecessary detail.	1 2 3 4 5
8. Conclusions are stated clearly.	1 2 3 4 5
9. Conclusions are supported by results.	1 2 3 4 5
<b>Presentation (35 points)</b>	
1. Recorded presentation is clear and easy to understand.	1 2 3 4 5
2. Recorded presentation offers a good explanation of the key research results.	1 2 3 4 5
3. Recorded presentation explains opportunities for knowledge transfer (real world applications, intellectual property creation, commercialization, etc.)	1 2 3 4 5



4. Presenter's response to questions demonstrate knowledge of technical subject matter.	1 2 3 4 5
5. Presenter's response to questions demonstrate understanding of sound research methods.	1 2 3 4 5
6. Presenter's response to questions demonstrate understanding of knowledge transfer opportunities.	1 2 3 4 5
7. Overall, this was a really good research presentation.	1 2 3 4 5
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	

**Other comments**